2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

NONNIE MARCELLA LOTUSFLOWER,

Plaintiff,

CHARLOTTE HEADLEY, et al.,

v.

Defendants.

Case No. C24-5948-JCC-MLP

ORDER DIRECTING SERVICE OF AMENDED COMPLAINT

This is a civil rights action proceeding under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff Nonnie Marcella Lotusflower, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, is currently incarcerated at the Monroe Correctional Complex ("MCC"). On January 15, 2025, this Court directed service of Ms. Lotusflower's original § 1983 complaint. (Dkt. # 8.) On March 18, 2025, Ms. Lotusflower filed a motion to amend her complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15, and on March 20, 2025, she submitted a copy of her proposed amended complaint in support of her motion. (Dkt. ## 26, 32.)

Rule 15(a)(1) provides that a party may amend its complaint once as a matter of course within 21 days after serving it, or if the pleading is one to which a responsive pleading is required, 21 days after service of a responsive pleading. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(1). Here, Ms.

ORDER DIRECTING SERVICE OF AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

Lotusflower's amended complaint was timely filed to permit amendment as a matter of course. The Court also notes that the previously served Defendants filed a response noting no opposition to Ms. Lotusflower's Motion for Leave. (Dkt. # 38.)

Accordingly, having reviewed Ms. Lotusflower's submissions and recognizing the addition of Defendants in her amended pleading, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

(1) Ms. Lotusflower's motion to amend her complaint (dkt. # 26) is STRICKEN as moot.

## (2) Service by Clerk

The Clerk is directed to re-file the proposed amended complaint (dkt. ## 32, 32-1) as the operative amended complaint and to send the following to Department of Corrections ("DOC") Director Cheryl Strange, DOC Women's Deputy Director Deborah Wofford, DOC Mental Health Director Dr. Quirk, Washington Corrections Center for Women Assistant Superintendent Maria Hall, Sgt. Borda, and MCC Superintendent Jack Warner, by email: a copy of Ms. Lotusflower's operative amended complaint, a copy of this Order, a copy of the notice of lawsuit and request for waiver of service of summons, and a waiver of service of summons.

### (3) Response Required

Defendant(s) shall have **thirty** (30) **days** within which to return the enclosed waiver of service of summons. A defendant who timely returns the signed waiver shall have **sixty** (60) **days** after the date designated on the notice of lawsuit to file and serve an answer to the complaint or a motion permitted under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

A defendant who fails to timely return the signed waiver will be personally served with a summons and complaint, and may be required to pay the full costs of such service, pursuant to Rule 4(d)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. A defendant who has been personally

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

served shall file an answer or motion permitted under Rule 12 within twenty-one (21) days after service.

#### **(4)** Filing and Service by Parties, Generally

All attorneys admitted to practice before this Court are required to file documents electronically via the Court's CM/ECF system. Counsel are directed to the Court's website, www.wawd.uscourts.gov, for a detailed description of the requirements for filing via CM/ECF. Plaintiff shall file all documents electronically. All filings must indicate in the upper right-hand corner the name of the magistrate judge to whom the document is directed.

Any document filed with the Court must be accompanied by proof that it has been served upon all parties that have entered a notice of appearance in the underlying matter. Plaintiff shall indicate the date the document is submitted for e-filing as the date of service.

#### (5) Motions, Generally

Any request for court action shall be set forth in a motion, properly filed and served. Pursuant to LCR 7(b), any argument being offered in support of a motion shall be submitted as a part of the motion itself and not in a separate document. The motion shall include in its caption (immediately below the title of the motion) a designation of the date the motion is to be noted for consideration upon the Court's motion calendar.

The motion shall be noted in accordance with LCR 7(d). Motions including stipulated and agreed motions, motions to file over-length motions or briefs, motions for reconsideration, joint submissions pursuant to the optional procedure established in LCR 37(a)(2), motions for default, requests for the clerk to enter default judgment, ex parte motions, motions to recuse, and motions for a temporary restraining order shall be noted for consideration on the day they are filed. LCR 7(d)(1). Other non-dispositive motions shall be noted for consideration no earlier than

21 days from the date of filing. LCR 7(d)(3). All dispositive motions, and motions such as those seeking a preliminary injunction or directed toward changing the forum, shall be noted for consideration no earlier than 28 days after filing. LCR 7(d)(4).

For electronic filers, any opposition to a non-dispositive motion shall be filed and received by the moving party no later than 15 days after the filing date of the motion, and any reply shall be filed and received by the opposing party no later than 21 days after the filing date of the motion. LCR 7(d)(3). Any opposition to a dispositive motion by an electronic filer shall be filed and received by the moving party no later than 21 days after the filing date of the motion and any reply shall be filed and received by the opposing party no later than 28 days after the filing date of the motion. LCR 7(d)(4).

# (6) <u>Motions to Dismiss and Motions for Summary Judgment</u>

Parties filing motions to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure and motions for summary judgment pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil

Procedure should acquaint themselves with those rules. As noted above, these motions shall be

noted for consideration no earlier than 28 days after filing.

Defendants filing motions to dismiss or motions for summary judge are advised that they MUST serve *Rand* notices concurrently with motions to dismiss based on failure to exhaust administrative remedies and motions for summary judgment so that *pro se* prisoner plaintiffs will have fair, timely and adequate notice of what is required of them in order to oppose those motions. *Woods v. Carey*, 684 F.3d 934, 941 (9th Cir. 2012). The Ninth Circuit has set forth model language for such notices:

A motion for summary judgment under Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure will, if granted, end your case.

23

Rule 56 tells you what you must do in order to oppose a motion for summary judgment. Generally, summary judgment must be granted when there is no genuine issue of material fact – that is, if there is no real dispute about any fact that would affect the result of your case, the party who asked for summary judgment is entitled to judgment as a matter of law, which will end your case. When a party you are suing makes a motion for summary judgment that is properly supported by declarations (or other sworn testimony), you cannot simply rely on what your complaint says. Instead, you must set out specific facts in declarations, depositions, answers to interrogatories, or authenticated documents, as provided in Rule 56(e), that contradict the facts shown in the defendant's declarations and documents and show that there is a genuine issue of material fact for trial. If you do not submit your own evidence in opposition, summary judgment, if appropriate, may be entered against you. If summary judgment is granted, your case will be dismissed and there will be no trial.

| Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 963 (9th Cir. 1998) (emphasis added).

Defendants who fail to file and serve the required *Rand* and *Wyatt* notices on Plaintiff may have their motion stricken from the Court's calendar with leave to re-file.

(7) Direct Communications with District Judge or Magistrate Judge

No direct communication is to take place with the District Judge or Magistrate Judge with regard to this case. All relevant information and papers are to be directed to the Clerk.

(8) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order and of the Court's *pro se* instruction sheet to Ms. Lotusflower. The Clerk is further directed send a copy of this Order and a courtesy copy of Ms. Lotusflower's complaint to the Washington Attorney General's Office, by first-class mail.

Dated this 1st day of April, 2025.

MICHELLE L. PETERSON United States Magistrate Judge